

REMARKS

Claims 1-7 are allowed. Claims 9-13 and 15-19 would be allowable if written to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

1. 35 U.S.C. 112 rejection of claim 9:

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, for reasons of record that can be found on page 3 in the Office action dated 09/23/2003, which is part of paper no.8.

To remedy this, claim 9 has been specifically amended. It is respectfully submitted that the Applicant has no intent to introduce new matter into the claim 9 in the amendment filed July 18, 2003. The use of the word "comprising" in claim 9 is purely a grammar error made by the translator. Therefore, the Applicant wishes to replace the incorrect term "comprising" with the phrase "incorporated with" to overcome this 112 rejection. Reconsideration of the twice-amended claim 9 is therefore politely requested.

2. 35 U.S.C. 132 objection to the specification:

The amendment filed July 18, 2003 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132, for reasons of record that can be found on page 2 in the Office action dated 09/23/2003, which is part of paper no.8.

It is respectfully submitted that the Applicant has no intent to introduce new matter into the claim 9 in the disclosure of the present application. The use of the word "comprising" in claim 9 has been corrected as set forth in the above AMENDMENT section

to comply with the contexts disclosed in paragraphs [0011], [0012],
[0013], and [0014] of the specification. Accordingly, withdrawal
of the objection to the specification is politely requested. The
Applicant believes that this application is now in condition for
5 allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

Sincerely yours,

10



Date: 4/21/2003

Winston Hsu, Patent Agent No.41,526

P.O. BOX 506

15 Merrifield, VA 22116

U.S.A.

e-mail: winstonhsu@naipo.com.tw